

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of CLARK HALL, CLARA HALL,
and CLARENCE HALL, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CLARK HALL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

LYNETTE HALL,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

July 14, 2005

No. 257960

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 01-399808-NA

Before: Neff, P.J., and Smolenski and Talbot, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by leave granted¹ the trial court's dispositional order in a child protection proceeding, wherein the court declined to increase his parenting time with his minor children, while expanding the mother's parenting time. We dismiss this appeal as moot. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

A court need not reach moot issues that have no practical effect on the case. *Ryan v Ryan*, 260 Mich App 315, 330; 677 NW2d 899 (2004). "An issue is moot if an event has occurred that renders it impossible for the court, if it should decide in favor of the party, to grant relief." *City of Jackson v Thompson-McCully Co*, 239 Mich App 482, 493; 608 NW2d 531 (2000). Respondent-appellant's sole issue on appeal challenges the trial court's decision at a dispositional review hearing held on June 23, 2004, increasing the mother's parenting time and denying respondent-appellant's request for increased parenting time. Respondent-appellant

¹ See *In re Hall Minors*, unpublished order of the Court of Appeals, entered February 18, 2005 (Docket No. 257960).

requests this Court to vacate the dispositional order and remand the matter to the trial court for reconsideration of the parenting time issue. However, the record reflects that, since the hearing at issue, several hearings, including five dispositional review hearings, have been held to determine the status and placement of the children wherein the court considered parenting time and subsequently entered dispositional orders. Even if we were to accept respondent-appellant's contentions, the trial court's subsequent reconsiderations of the parenting time issue based on changed facts and circumstances renders it impossible for this Court to grant the relief requested. In light of these subsequent events, respondent-appellant's appeal is now moot.

Appeal dismissed as moot.

/s/ Janet T. Neff

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski

/s/ Michael J. Talbot